# Rail4Future



| Projekttitel: | **Resilient Digital Railway Systems to enhance performance** |
|---|---|
| Start Datum: | **01/04/2021** |
| Durchlaufzeit: | **42 Monate** |
| Projektnummer: | **882504** |
| Ausschreibung: | **8. Ausschreibung COMET Projekte 2019** |

# D1.1.2 Blueprint architecture blueprint architecture with simulation workflow (M14)

| Fälligkeitsdatum | 31.12.2022 |
| Einreichungsdatum | 17.05.2023 |
| Eingereicht von | Shiyang Zhou |

| Version | Datum | Bearbeitet von | Beschreibung |
|---------|-------|----------------|--------------|
| 0.1 | 26.03.23 | Shiyang Zhou | Erstellung |
| 1.0 | 15.05.23 | Manfred Grafinger | Finale Korrektur |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Deliverable released                           Deliverable released

Dr. Manfred Grafinger                          Dr. Filip Kitanoski

_____              _____

by Area Scientific Manager                     by Project Scientific Manager

# 1      Executive Summary

Railway is crucial for a sustainable transportation system. Digitization of the railway infrastructure system has a proven impact on improving its resilience and efficiency while reducing the overall cost. The increased digitization of railway infrastructure systems provides a solid basis for the realization of a digital twin (DT), which can continuously merge different sources of data and diverse models for monitoring, diagnostics, and prognostics to optimize railway performance. However, the railway infrastructure system is sophisticated and consists of a series of subsystems, including turnouts, tunnels, vehicles, etc. Current researches mainly focus on the DT application of the single subsystem, but the comprehensive communication and interactions between diverse railway infrastructure subsystems remain a largely under-explored domain. Aiming to fill the gap, this report introduces a conceptual architecture to develop a DT platform to seamlessly integrate and automatically link digital models and data of different subsystems into a holistic large-scale railway infrastructure system. The model cooperation frameworks, communication approaches and visualization toolbox are presented in this work. One typical use case of the platform will also be implemented and discussed. It is expected that this research will shed new light on the sustainable development of the holistic large-scale railway infrastructure system.

# 2    Table of Contents

D1.1.2   Blueprint   architecture                                          Seite 4 von 10
blueprint   architecture   with
simulation workflow (M14).docx

# 3    Description

In the following section, a conceptual model-based DT structure for the holistic large-scale railway infrastructure grounded on the requirements mentioned in Section 3.1 will be presented. The proposed platform is built based on the demands of our European industrial partners in the R4F project. Thus, it is designed to be operation-oriented and aims to be compatible with the Technical Specifications for Interoperability (TSIs) from the European Union Agency for Railways (ERA) [3]. The proposed R4F Platform framework can be summarized into six layers: asset layer, integration layer, infrastructure layer, function layer, visualization layer and interaction layer (see Fig. 2).

*Shiyang Zhou  et al. / Procedia CIRP 109 (2022) 362–367*



Fig. 2. The R4F Platform landscape

## 3.1    Asset Layer

Based on the available models and data from our industrial partners, the asset layer is proposed to include all the existing assets to be fed into the platform. And it is possible to incorporate other potential assets. Three kinds of assets are mainly considered due to their different nature:

physically-based numerical models, measurement data and data-based machine learning models. The measurement data derives from various measuring systems in the railway ecosystem. Since the railway ecosystem is long-lived, all measurements have to be contextualized with the time of measurement. In general, providing context and metadata to the measurement data allows for more extensive applications. Besides, measurement data only covers the past and cannot predict the future. Numerical and machine learning models based on measurement data can enrich the input assets and, at the same time, save time and cost. More importantly, these models can also be used for prediction. The prediction can be based on the historical measurement data or a new subsystem model. Both are approximations and therefore have to include the model's fidelity so that the platform can calculate the total fidelity out of the sub-simulations. The model's fidelity will be controlled by the Data Owner and Asset Provider based on the feedback from the Asset Integrator, which will be exemplified by the following use case in Section 3.3. However, protocols of how they are built and used have to be included in a way that the platform can interpret them. A standard model like SysML is supposed to be applied, as SysML fulfills the international standard ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010 for the systems architecture description. It is widely used for model requirements, behavior, structure and parametric of complex systems, which may include software, hardware, data or other components of human-made and natural systems.

## 3.2    Integration Layer

The integration layer manages the import and integration of models to the R4F Platform. Together with the infrastructure layer, it instantiates and executes simulations that are defined at the function layer. This approach is also known as system simulation. In particular, when different domain-specific solvers, models, and tools are integrated into one common simulation, this is known as cooperative simulation, or in short, co-simulation. Co-simulation methods were advanced significantly during the last decade, including modeling, coupling algorithms, and (structural) integration methods. The Functional Mock-up Interface (FMI) represents the most important interoperability standard. It is maintained as a Modelica Association standard, and its most recent version is FMI 2.0.3. FMI defines a software interface for co-simulation, effectively contributing to interoperability between models and tools. Its companion standard, the Distributed Co-Simulation Protocol (DCP), is a compatible platform and communication medium independent standard for the integration of models or real-time systems into simulation environments. Therefore, we propose to use FMI as a standard in the platform for model integration. The physically-based models can be integrated with FMI. However, it may need an additional effort, as the platform has to provide a data integration functionality separated but interoperable with FMI. This functionality will provide interfaces for simple data transformation, data transfer between models, data transport between platforms, and storage management of the data. In the data integration section, due to the immense complexity of the holistic railway system, it is hard to deliver all real-time data and synchronize them in reality. However, the platform should be able to respond as quickly as possible to new assets and initiate new calculations. After that, the raw data will be processed,

such as data interpolation, data interception, data synchronization, etc. The processed data will be stored in a standard data exchange format like Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) format and railway mark-up language (RailML) format to ensure efficient and consistent railway modeling and the compatibility of the platform. Independent from the type of structural integration, the integration layer enables data or model providers to keep track and manage their contributions. That means they maintain full ownership and responsibility.

## 3.3    Infrastructure Layer

The infrastructure layer, or execution and communication layer, is responsible for running simulations on the underlying hardware and controlling the simulation pipelines defined by the asset integrators and providers. It relies on the interfaces defined at the integration layer, the pipelines defined in the function layer, and the infrastructure configuration provided on its own layer. There are various ways to run sub-simulations: i) Simulations are containerized with an FMI interface and are run as a container on the platform as the pipeline is called; ii) They are not containerized but within an FMI interface and run in the same company's ecosystem; iii) They are data-model based with or without an FMI and are run within the same company ecosystem; iv) They can only be run externally via another R4F Platform by connecting to the other infrastructure layer. Besides, the infrastructure layer also provides a remote rendering function for the visualisation process, a Key Performance Indicator (KPI) System for the reliability assessment, and serves as a data bank.

## 3.4    Function Layer

In the function layer, the expected usages of the R4F Platform are listed and defined. The pipelines, as mentioned earlier, and the input variables are managed within this layer. While the integration layer is responsible for managing assets, this layer is responsible for managing the whole simulation flows defined in the pipelines. The most critical aspect of this layer is the ability to integrate new simulation pipelines, provide life cycles for them and query the data and models by timestamps to ensure the synchronization requirement. Input to this layer is provided by the user through the visualization layer or directly by the asset integrators. For details, see the interaction layer.

## 3.5    Visualization Layer

The visualization layer provides users with a holistic system for exploring and understanding the data and simulation results available in the R4F Platform. Spatial (3D) and non-spatial (numeric, quantitative) information will be combined with techniques such as multiple coordinated views using linking, brushing and overlay visualizations. The variations in the scale of railway infrastructure can be addressed with techniques like semantic zooming, where different data is

shown for different zoom levels qualitatively. A visual programming approach for simulation steering has been used in the context of flood simulation [16]. Based on this approach, we want to allow domain experts to use visual programming for simulation design and configuration, providing easy-to-use dashboards for other users. Specialized visualization, like heat maps and parallel coordinates in combination with overviews, details on demand, zooming, and filtering will allow users to analyze the data visually. They will also be able to compare different simulation runs and study the sensitivity of simulation parameters.

## 3.6    Interaction Layer

The interaction layer illustrates the user interaction with the platform and assures the interactivity of the platform. On a simplified view, there can be four major roles which interact with the platform. Users are the experts that can interpret simulation results and define actions according to the outcome. They give feedback on what simulations they need and what outcomes are of interest to them. The graphical user interface for this group needs to be simple and intuitive. The Asset Integrator picks up that feedback and creates or adapts the simulation pipelines to the user's needs. This may also include the organization and management of parts of the infrastructure like allocation of licenses and interaction with Asset Providers to integrate or update models in the platform. Therefore, the Asset Integrator has to be an expert within the simulation domain and a manager for the platform. The Asset Integrator has access to more complex visual tools like visual programming for adapting simulation pipelines. The Asset Providers supply the platform with models and data. They are experts in their domain who develop and maintain models. To do so, they use the data provided by Data Owners. Data Owners are the owners of the data as legal identity. They may also own the models developed by the Asset Provider. This user-centric approach should ensure that only models that are needed and used by the Users are integrated.

## 3.7    Case Study: A R4F Platform Application for Prediction of Track Geometry

Track geometry may directly influence the safety of the entire railway infrastructure system. However, due to the long-term wear and tear of the track, it is hard to predict the lifetime of the track in use. This subsection introduces a smart maintenance process of the railway track as one application of the R4F Platform by studying the interaction between the vehicle and track subsystems with the integration of numerical and machine learning models, as shown in Fig. 3. Starting from the Integration Layer 1˜ the problems and possible solutions of the railway track system are defined by Users (Railway Operators). Based on feedback from Users, the Asset Integrator will create the integration pipelines and provide them to the function layer and manage corresponding licenses of various software. Afterward, the Asset Integrator will demand and check the models and data from the Data Owner, who is the legal owner of Assets. Three assets are

listed in our case with their respective parameters in Asset Layer 2˜. The measurement data refers to the vertical deformation of the track geometry, which will be collected through measurement cars. Then, the measurement data will be fed to the Vehicle-Track Interaction (VTI) model and RNN model as input. The VTI model refers to a numerical model that considers the discrete support of elastic rails. It can use the processed measurement data of the track geometry as input, and it predicts the development of vertical track deformation. The RNN model is a machine learning model that can be fed with processed measurement data and keep iterating based on the proximity of the model. The finally trained RNN model can make precise predictions about the geometry and life cycle of the track, which may enrich the dataset of the track sub-model. In the Integration and Infrastructure layer 3˜, all the data and models with initialized parameters are integrated within the integration layer into the platform via their respective interfaces. Then the integrating process is generated, and all these assets will be stored in the graph database. Every simulation path must have its own validated graph, which is created by SysML models. The graph is then transformed into a pipeline which is responsible for the execution of the defined workflow. After that, simulations are carried out in the virtual machines, and the simulation results are written for data storage. Based on the user-defined output functions, the simulation results are visualized at the Visualization layer in 4˜ (see Fig. 3).

*Shiyang Zhou et al. / Procedia CIRP 109 (2022) 362–367*



Fig. 3. Flow chart of model integration

# 4. Conclusion and Future Work

Digital Twin brings digital and automation technology to the traditional railway infrastructure system to make it more resilient, reliable, and intelligent. The application of DT in the railway

infrastructure system shows a manifold potential, but different models need to be efficiently integrated into a holistic platform for wide use.

In this report, a conceptual model-based digital twin platform that aims to integrate measurement data, numerical models, and machine learning models from different railway subsystems into a holistic large-scale railway infrastructure platform is proposed for the first time. The R4F Platform may ensure that reliable and valuable data can continuously flow throughout the whole life span of the holistic system and its subsystems, which may help build a fully connected and digitized railway infrastructure system. We first identify the crucial requirements of the R4F Platform to define its essential characteristics, which ensures that the platform works consistently and reliably. After that, a 6-Layer based architecture of the proposed R4F Platform is presented. The R4F Platform will be exploited for problem diagnosis, predictive maintenance, condition monitoring, and some other cases based on user demand. Furthermore, corresponding visualization processes and techniques will provide added value to the platform users.

The presented study outlines a broad spectrum of conceptual architecture for an entirely virtual validation platform for the integration of model and data of railway infrastructure subsystems. The R4F Platform is still under development, and it plays an instructive and essential role in the R4F project. More use cases shall be integrated into this platform to validate its reliability and fidelity in future publications. Visualization research will be conducted according to the principles of user-centred design and improved iteratively based on the properties of available data and the feedback of domain scientists.

Consequently, establishing the above-mentioned conceptual R4F Platform is a major part of the recently started R4F research program. It will provide plenty of opportunities for future work, pushing sustainable development of the holistic large-scale railway infrastructure system.